UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,254	03/01/2004	Cosimo Maceri	2814-1-001	9801
KLAUBER & J	7590 05/23/200 [ACKSON	EXAMINER		
	Avenue, 4th Fl.	CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
Hackensack, NJ 07601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/790,254	MACERI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Arthur L. Corbin	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-21 is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-15 and 22 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and Applicant may not request that any objection to the	rawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  ner.  ccepted or b) □ objected to by the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	LE ACTION OF TOTAL		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,254 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

1. Claims 16-21 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on March 24, 2008. Applicant's traversal has been considered but is not convincing for the reasons set forth in paragraph nos. 3 and 4, Paper No. 20071219.

- 2. Claims 1-15 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 1, 4, 7 and 10, "characterized in that" should be changed to "which". In claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9 and 11-15, "characterized in that" should be changed to "wherein". In claim 1, line 1, "the" should be added after "as". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 fails to include proper Markush language, which can be corrected by changing "among" to "from the group consisting of".
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 10-15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's prior art admission in paragraph nos. [0005] and [0006] of the specification. Applicant admits that dried pig ear has been used as dog food, and

that dog food includes additives such as cheese and flour. It would have been obvious to mince the pig ear in order to make it easier for a dog to digest. Finding the optimum amount of each component of the dog food (claims 2, 3, 12) and the optimum shape thereof (claims 13-15) would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

Page 3

7. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's prior art admission as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Jones et al (col. 3, lines 58-65). It would have been obvious to include rice and poultry meat in the dog food of the primary reference since these two components are conventional dog food additives, as evidenced by Jones et al. Finding the optimum amount of rice and poultry meat would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,254 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794

8. /Arthur L Corbin/

9. Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794